ATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IN TH

In re Application of:

Satoshi Suzuki et al

Serial No.: 09/940,787

Filed: August 29, 2001

Group Art Unit: 1711

Examiner: Rabon A. Sergent

POSITION PRODUCELLA

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERA

MAR O 4 2002

C 7 2002 URETHANE COMPOSITION FOR SHEET TRANSPORT ROLL, AND SHEET For: TRANSPORT ROLL PRODUCED BY EMPLOYING THE URETHANE COMPOSITION

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Date: February 28, 2002

Reconsideration of the rejection contained in the Office Action dated November 28, 2001, in view of the following and the attached document are resepectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1-17 were rejected under the first paragraph of 35 USC § 112 as not being described in the specification as filed. Specifically, it was asserted that the the claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification as filed in terms of how the hardness values are determined. Further, clarification was requested as to this determination and it was required that the means of determining the hardness must be clearly set forth in the specification. Reconsideration of this rejection in view of the enclosed document and the following comments is respectfully requested.

Serial Number: 09/940,787

From a careful review of the specification, it is submitted that the subject specification does contain information as to the manner in which the hardness values are determined. The attention of the examiner is directed to the procedure set forth on lines 11-13 of page 13 and lines 14-17 of page 19 which relates to how hardness is determined. The latter passage on page 19 states:

"The surface hardness of each of the sheet transport rolls was measured with a load of 9.8 N by means of a durometer of Type A."

Further, the attention of the examiner is directed to the attached document entitled "JIS K 6253:1997 - Hardness testing methods for rubber, vulcanized or thermoplastic" for further specifics of this procedure. It is to be noted that a durometer of type A is described in Section 5.2(2) of page 11, in Fig. 2 of page 12 and in Examples 2 and 3 of Section 5.6 of page 15. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112 is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the subject application is now in condition for allowance and early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Serial Number: 09/940,787

In the event this paper is not timely filed, the undersigned hereby petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fee for this extension may be charged to Deposit Account No. 01-2340, along with any other additional fees which may be required with respect to this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP

Donald W. Hanson

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 27,133

Atty. Case No. 010966

1725 K Street, N.W., Suite 1000

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 659-2930 DWH:rab

Enclosure: JIS K 6253: 1997